

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,638	06/19/2001	Thomas E. Ricciardelli	2601.102	4310	
7:	590 07/03/2002				
Jerry M. Presson			EXAMINER		
95 Golden Hill Road Trumbull, CT 06611			TRAN A, PI	TRAN A, PHI DIEU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/884,638	RICCIARDELLI, THOMAS E.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Phi D A	3637		
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	<u>lune 2001</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-28}$ is/are pending in the application	l.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).		
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro				
Attachmen					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 3		

Application/Control Number: 09/884,638

Art Unit: 3637

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 4, "surface a distal" is indefinite. Should it be "surface, a distal"?

Claim 20 line 3 "boards a wood" is indefinite. Should it be "boards and a wood floor"?

#### PRODUCT BY PROCESS CLAIM:

"The subject matter present is regarded as a product by process claim in which a product is introduced by the method in which it is made. The presence of process limitations on product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephen 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965). It is the general practice of this office to examine the final product described regardless of the method provided by the applicant."

The above policy applies to claim 14.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,638 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 8-12, 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Oh et al (0039781).

Figures 1, 4c-4d, 8, 10

3. Claims 13-16, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oh et al (0039781).

Oh et al shows a decorative layer (figure 10, 103/200) attached to the top surface of the base, a war-resistant layer (104) adhered to the top surface of the base, a wear-resistant layer (104) attached to the decorative layer (103/200), the decorative layer simulates the surface of a wooden floor (figure 8), a plurality of longitudinally extending parallel grooves (figure 4a-4b) on the top surface to simulate a plurality of boards (inherently so as they come together a gap still shown), each of the grooves being aligned with longitudinal edge portions of oppositely aligned step edges (figure 10).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/884,638

Art Unit: 3637

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5-7, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al (0039781) in view of Ruppel et al (6035928).

Oh et al shows all the claimed limitations except for the interlock surface comprising a hermaphroditic interlock structures.

Ruppel et al shows an interlock surface comprising a hermaphroditic interlock structures.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Oh et al to show the interlock surface comprising a hermaphroditic interlock structures because a hermaphroditic interlock structure is functionally equivalent to Oh et al's tongue and groove structure as they both function the same to interlock the tile together.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al (0039781).

Oh et al discloses the material being plastic.

Oh et al does not disclose the material being recycled plastic.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to show Oh et al's plastic being recycled plastic because using recycled plastic would ensure cheap and available material for the tile.

7. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al (0039781).

Oh et al shows all the claimed limitations except for the decorative layer on said top surface simulating a wood grain in each tile section.

Art Unit: 3637

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Oh et al to show the decorative layer (104) simulating a wood grain in each tile section because it was known in the art that providing a decorative surface simulating a wood grain in each tile section would provide a pleasing appearance.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different tile designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A June 27, 2002